August 17, 2022

Sent: USPS Registered Mail #RF 581 914 438 US

TO: Lisa Maxine Estrada– the woman c/o Utah County Public Defenders

180 N. University Ave., Ste. 140

Provo, Utah 84601



RE: DECLARATION [*AFFIDAVIT*]: DEMAND TO CEASE AND DESIST from Lisa Maxine Estrada's continued fraudulent claim to be our authorized "counsel of record", with a NOTICE OF DEFAULT, ACCEPTANCE OF YOUR AGREEMENT, INTENT TO COLLECT – with INVOICE #2270817a attached.

Ms. Estrada,

We, Paul-Kenneth: Cromar, a living man, and Barbara-Ann: Cromar, a living woman, of a place known as Cedar Hills, Utah, sole Executors to our estates of the same name, have had our lives, reputation and property all but destroyed by a long train of abuses by judges and officers of various Utah courts, and federal courts under the so-called "Department of Justice", "law enforcement" officers, various government officials, and you Ms. Estrada, who we have never had an exchange until yesterday via email. It is important to note that his first-time exchange did NOT happen until AFTER trial – and was initiated by us, in an effort to protect ALL our REMEDIES heretofore denied and compromised BEFORE sentencing, -- because as you know, the substantive Remedies and protections are lessened as the burden of proof shifts.

On August 10, we sent you a very public **Request FILING of - Emergency Motion for continuance and a special hearing to Determine Counsel of record**, acknowledging that you had attempted to be released as our counsel, asking you as the "counsel of record" to ask for a Hearing that would "definitively determine counsel of record, on and for the record".

Rather than accomplish this one goal, you sent a flurry of unrequested filings in "our name", but with consultation, authorization or notification, and apparently with ex parte communication with the prosecutor and judge without our knowledge, explanation, discussion, communication or "counsel". Despite your email's protestation saying, "It is not exparte communication when the prosecutor and myself are notified or present for any communication with the judge" - it is indeed ex parte when you are NOT authorized by us to "represent" us. We DEMAND you immediately CEASE AND DESIST pretending to act as our "authorized representation. You are NOT!, especially in light of the March 25 2022 – (Exhibit B - Competent Counsel Contract OFFER to Lisa Maxine Estrada and Paul-Kenneth: & Barbara-Ann:Cromar)

Now you now, in abuse of process, you Ms. Estrada, have added greatly to the ongoing denial of

God-given, un-a-lien-able rights, included but are not limited to injustice of false arrest & imprisonment, malicious prosecution, incompetent and unauthorized "assistance of counsel", trespass and burglary and false appropriation of our home and property, harm, damage and emotional distress in a sham trial which your correctly predicted would be a "disaster", that the Cromars "delusional", but did nothing to help us, and indeed because you interjected yourself without



our authorization and direct defiance of our March 25, 2022 Fourth & Final Notice via Competent Counsel Contract OFFER to Lisa Maxine Estrada-- you, Ms Estrada, actually did more damage than good, because you actually let the court use you and your name on the docket of our case to falsely declare, "Represented by: LISA MAXINE ESTRADA", to create the appearance that we had a "fair trial". It was not a fair trial. Justice and the appearance of justice did not happen. As we declared numerous times in various communications before and during the trial, "We're already in mistrial before we ever got to the trial."

Therefore, after numerous opportunities to do the right thing to protect the innocent, you have

this **DEMAND TO CEASE AND DESIST from your, Lisa Maxine Estrada's, continued** fraudulent claim to be our authorized "counsel of record", with a has an CONDITIONAL INVOICE #2270817a attached, which you agreed to pay, for reasons that include but are not limited to those presented as follows:

We, barbara & ken, are the victims of "tyrannical government gone wild" with unsubstantiated nor adjudicated claims in federal court in alleged "federal income tax" legal in a case where we were never granted a hearing let alone trial. As an attorney, that's violation Law 101 right to due process. That's all you need to know to understand that we've cheated out of our home, life, liberty, and honor. The real criminals are those who use the courts for their personal advantage" at the expense of justice for the People. We, "Barbie & Ken", continue to suffer the effects of IRS / DOJ attorneys who filed a fraudulent via a civil "Complaint" in US District Court (Salt Lake City) in UNITED STATES OF AMERICA vs. CROMARS et all (case # 2:17-cv-01223-RJS) claiming that we "owe \$1,053,028.65" in "unpaid federal income taxes", which we have ever maintained and we never owed, the truth of which is now proven by US Tax Court's Chief Judge Maurice B. Foley's October 20, 2021 ORDER OF DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF **JURISDICTION**. This ORDER now provides Us, Barbara and Ken, and a candid world, and to You, Ms. Estrada, admission by the Commissioner of the IRS himself with absolute proof of our complete vindication of all IRS claims and related charges throughout now 18 trickle-down cases against the Defendants (in error) PAUL KENNETH CROMAR and BARBARA ANN CROMAR, vindicating us for a 31 year period from 1990 through 2020. We "Barbie and Ken" (Ibid - Docket #155 - SIXTH JUDICIAL NOTICE: are now Exonerated! EXONERATION of all IRS Claims Against Cromars from 1990-2020 by US Tax Court / Washington D.C. / Chief Judge Maurice B. Foley's ORDER For Dismissal For Lack of Jurisdiction)

(Note: Despite our having been denied a Motion for Hearing before our home was stolen, at least ALL seven of our Judicial Notices remain on the record – despite this court's unlawful blocking/striking of our filing Defense, with a frivolous self-serving claim by the court that we are "vexatious litigant". How can we be vexatious when we didn't file the lawsuit, are the

victims of a false prosecution, and are simply trying to defend ourselves against an Abuse of Process by misapplying commercial /corporate (dead) law, on a living man and woman.)

Thank you for your email of yesterday with your 11 bullet points that actually serve to prove our point, and to convict yourself of Fraud upon the Court, and of multiple crimes under USC Title 18 various sections. In your email yesterday, you, Ms Estrada, wrote and admitted – with our ANSWER thereafter:

"1. You objected to my being made your counsel. This is on the record multiple times.

ANSWER: Agreed! Isn't it clear by your own admission that we did NOT authorize your "representation"?

"2. You sent a contract to me that I refused to sign. I do not contract with individuals.

ANSWER: No problem! If you didn't want to sign a binding agreement then you would not be considered as counsel. Did you believe that you could pretend to be our counsel without our authorization and agreement? Isn't it true that if a contract is not signed, an agreement does not exist. Yes, you're correct that we have no agreement and that hence you have never been considered or accepted as our "counsel" or that you "represent us". (see again Exhibit B"

"3. You proceeded to trial without my assistance and against my advise as provided on the record".

ANSWER: False. You've never spoken to us, only <u>at</u> us and about us during Webex hearings – almost as though we weren't there, even characterizing us "delusional" without ever calling for a competency hearing. What advice did you give us on the record? Would you trust someone as your counsel who characterized you as "delusional" multiple times?

"8. It is not exparte communication when the prosecutor and myself are notified or present for any communication with the judge."

ANSWER: Disagreed. It is indeed ex parte when someone you have not authorized, and indeed definitively discharged from any court related association to speak to the judge or prosecutor or anyone else for that matter without authorization, knowledge, explanation, debrief or understanding.

Therefore, we DEMAND that you not only remove your name from the record PRIOR to sentencing, that you provide any and all records including but not limited to documents, communications, notes, phone records, etc., regarding all "representation" by you, Ms. Estrada immediately – prior to sentencing. We are not slaves or children under the undisclosed doctrine of parens patrae. We have been found by a jury of our peers to be competent, of age (over 25), and Executors of our Estates.

"9. It is your responsibility to call me if you have questions or you want something filed on your behalf."

ANSWER: Agreed! But we have <u>never</u> contacted you to officially engage or accept your service as our counsel and you have no reason to think, assume, or presume otherwise. The ONLY request to have you, "as counsel of record", move the court for a hearing to Definitively Determine Counsel on the record. Instead you filed numerous motions, none of which we requested, were consulted with on, or approval.

We did not ask for any of those filings. You filed a simplistic, "Request for Hearing" which only asked for a "continuance" and not for "definitive determination of counsel for the record". In considering your June 6 attempt to bail out as "counsel of record" for the Cromars, which we were not served or provided, and knew nothing about until after the sham trial.

Ironically, in judge Christine Johnson's ORDER she addressed a question you did NOT ask – as the only person who the court allowed to do so. YOU failed in that one request, our only request, which SHOULD NOT be assumed to have been an invitation or acceptance of you as our counsel. It was NOT. It was simply requested to clear up the record for purposes of our REMEDIES, which as you know become limited and compromised AFTER sentencing. Could it be that this has been judge Christine Johnson and prosecutor Jared Perkins' strategy and intent all along? Why would you interject yourself, unauthorized, to harm us, and help them deny us our remedies, rights and privileges?

10. I will not be speaking to anyone on your behalf. Do not have nonparties contact me further.

We have no idea what you're referencing. We have not, nor would we ever ask anyone to contact someone from whom we have intentionally, clearly and definitively separated ourselves. IF your claim is to be believed, please disclose who contacted you "on our behalf", when and why?

"Lisa M. Estrada, Esq, Attorney for Defendants"

"Esquire"? That is a title of nobility, which titles repulsed the Founding Fathers (and us). Are you aware of a lost Constitutional amendment attempted to outlaw titles of nobility was apparently passed by the majority of the state, but mysteriously was circumvented (like the last election)?

As for being our "Attorney for Defendants" – it is a damnable lie. Ms. Estrada, you never have been, are not now, nor will you ever be our counsel. (Again see Exhibit B – Fourth & Final Notice via Competent Counsel Contract OFFER to Lisa Maxine Estrada of March 25, 2022)

It appears that you a willing participant, complicit in an effort by this court and prosecutor to fabricate the appearance that the Cromars had "Competent Assistance of Counsel", when we had never, ever communicated with you in any dialogue until yesterday (well after the trial that ended July 1), a trial you were told you did not need to attend.

We, paul-kenneth: and barbara-ann: of the House of Cromar, the sole Executors of the Estates by the same names, as a living man and woman of a jurisdiction foreign to Madam Johnson's corporate (dead) court, as the sole authorities over the Estates being criminally trespassed by officers of this court, HEREBY, ORDER you, Ms. Lisa Maxine Estrada to Cease and Desist from "representing" in criminal trespass that may include *identity theft* and *forgery* of our names and misappropriation of our *power of attorney* and REMOVE your name from both cases dockets TODAY (before any possible sentencing) to avoid being named as a co-conspirator in violation of USC Title 18 section 241 – Conspiracy to Deny Rights, and USC Title 18 section 242 – Color of Law, and USC Title 18 section 241 – Misprision of Felony as well as possibility in being named in a RICO suit – as part of a conspiracy to commit fraud, swindle and treason upon this court – against us.

NOTICE OF DEFAULT, ACCEPTANCE OF YOUR AGREEMENT, and INTENT TO COLLECT

We, Paul-Kenneth: Cromar, and Barbara-Ann: Cromar, a living and breathing man and woman, found to be living by a jury of our peers, of age, competent to manage our affairs, husband and

wife, upon the land, and the sole lawful Heirs and Executors of the estates for the copyrighted and trademarked undersigned names, hereby provide *You*, flesh-and-blood Ms. Lisa Maxine Estrada this NOTICE OF DEFAULT, ACCEPTANCE OF AGREEMENT, INTENT TO COLLECT with INVOICE attached Invoice #2270717a, (hereafter "DEFAULT AND AGREED INVOICE"), in part for the reasons explained hereafter.

My wife Barbara & I, Ken, have had almost everything of value stolen, discarded and/or compromised when we were hit by an unlawful June 25, 2019 U.S. Marshal SWAT of 13 or more, and again a second time after returning to *our* home, where were hit with a quasi-military "75-man SWAT" team without lawful warrants, and arrested on September 24, 2020, about which you, Ms. Estrada, would have as understood and defended IF you were our authorized counsel.

We've suffered incalculable harm and damage, including but not limited to our home/property stolen from *our* control without our God-given rights to Constitutionally secured *due process* and *trial*, all our possessions in our home trashed in 7 or more large dumpsters by and your agents, and *our* "papers and effects" (including but not limited to PC, computer drives, etc.) necessary to make a living and defend ourselves in court were stolen (in violation of 4th & 6th Amendment rights), falsely arrested without warrants, charged with felonies including "burglary" of *our own home!*, had evidence withheld from *Us*, put out on the street, made homeless and beholden to survival by kind friends and neighbors, and without tools of my trade to make a living when film production equipment stolen (I am a 30+ year professional Producer / Director / Writer), *we* remain threatened life and limb, and face 1-15 years for a felonies we did not commit, all suffered through 4 years and 4 months of court battles where we, without legal sophistication or expensive BAR attorneys have attempted to defend ourselves *sui juris* (have never claimed "pro se" despite uncorrected court docket), *in personam*, through now eighteen (18) cases.

These two videos may help to better understand a portion of the tyrannical abuse we continue to suffer, that clearly you've never taken time to understand as unauthorized "counsel".

https://youtu.be/mmp VOpbZas

American Fork Police get educated about Barbie & Ken's Property Rights

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fn8MMZWdo6E

Against all odds, we've finally obtained a measure of justice through our lawsuit against the Commissioner of the IRS in United States Tax Court in Washington, DC where Barbara and I, in separate cases both won! On October 20, 2021, the US Tax Court Chief Judge Maurice B. Foley entered his opinion in my case (#15701-21) with his ORDER TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION over me by the IRS from 1990 through 2021. That's 31 years worth of complete 100% exoneration! This means that ALL of the considerable harm and damage done to us by IRS claims since 1990 to present have been outside of the Law, and is hence actionable against those who acted outside of the Law. (see details provided in FOURTH & SIXTH JUDICIAL NOTICES filed in Chief Judge Robert J. Shelby's original US District Court case #2:17-cv-01223-RJS, dockets #153 and #155 – Salt Lake City, Utah.)

You could have helped by immediately exposing the fraud and having your name removed from the docket. You did neither, and apparently worked for and with the court against us.


You could have assisted us in being RESTORED to our home and property, and to facilitate the payment of damages to *Us* for the unlawful threat to life and limb and denial of our God-given rights, protected by the Constitution for the United States in sworn oath, but denied by an estimated 200 federal, state and local government officials who broke that oath promising to act as *our* servants and to protect *We the People*. We invite you to renew fidelity to your sworn oath. In the meanwhile, you, the living woman Lisa Maxine Estrada, are also served this **NOTICE OF DEFAULT, ACCEPTANCE OF YOUR AGREEMENT, INTENT TO COLLECT – with INVOICE #2270717a attached**, for reasons explained hereafter, for which you enjoy NO "judicial immunity" or "immunity" of any kind while acting in apparent conspiracy with government officials who have apparently broken their oath of office in violation of USC Title 18 sec 241 & 242 and possible RICO violations.

You, Lisa Maxine Estrada, the living woman, SHALL immediately address this CONDITIONAL INVOICE #2270717a, or suffer the consequences as you previously agreed. However, if you should successfully remove your name from the docket as our "counsel of record" before "sentencing", disclose to us all communications with the prosecution and judge (as you acknowledge in item #8 of your email above) and then VOID all records created and/or signed by you as part of the court record, and disavow in writing with your notarized signature, and remove your participation therewith to completely expunge any trace of you (or any other entity) as "representing" us, barbie & ken, or our property in copyrighted and trademarked names.

You, flesh-and-blood Ms. Lisa Maxine Estrada privately and personally received on March 25, 2022 at 12:02 pm via USPS Certified Return Receipt 7020 1810 0000 5667 0379, a mailing

wherein You were lawfully serviced a PUBLIC NOTICE, DECLARATIONS, MANDATES, AND LAWFUL PROTEST (hereafter "NOTICE & MANDATES"), which You were legally, lawfully and morally to obliged to contest, deny or agree by non-response. You did not respond by the 30-day deadline, which as stipulated, alerted you that Your non-response would be accepted as agreement.

The NOTICE AND MANDATES gave you, Ms. Estrada, reasonable notice and additional grace of many days of opportunity to locate and produce the



required evidence and/or verify the accuracy, reliability, and trustworthiness of the laws, claims and charges. Again, the deadline for production of such evidence, if any existed, was April 25, 2022. With none presented or received, as agreed, we accepted *Your* non-response and agreement.

You, flesh-and-blood Ms. Estrada, as stipulated, with your failure to respond with support of Your disagreement (if there had been any), to the NOTICE & MANDATES is now accepted as your acknowledgement the details therein are true, correct, legal, lawful, and serves as Your irrevocable Agreement attesting to this, fully binding upon you in any court in America, without Your protest or objection.

Accordingly, we, Paul-Kenneth: and Barbara-Ann: Cromar, now invoke the doctrine of estoppel by acquiescence, because we can prove that *Your* previous fiduciary contract with us imposes upon You a legal, <u>lawful</u> and moral duty to answer, and *Your* silence can now be construed as a fraud.

"Silence can only be equated with fraud where there is a legal or moral duty to speak or where an inquiry left unanswered would be intentionally misleading." See U.S. v. Tweel, 550 F .2d 297, 299(1977), emphasis added, quoting U.S. v. Prudden, 424 F .2d 1021, 1032 (1970). See also Carmine v. Bowen, 64 A. 932 (1906). (see item #6 on page 14 in NOTICE & MANDATES)

You, flesh-and-blood Ms. Estrada are hereby notified that WE, Paul-Kenneth: Cromar, and Barbara-Ann: Cromar having received no response from You, ACCEPT by Your silence, which now results in this ACCEPTANCE AND INVOICE as stipulated in the NOTICE AND MANDATES, and hereby advise you of our INTENT TO COLLECT all fees, penalties, damages, agreements, requirements and mandates, etc., as identified therein, with some of the financial obligations detailed in the attached Invoice #2270717a. A select few facts are highlighted here as an unrequired courtesy to you (see your actual NOTICE & MANDATES for ALL your agreed obligations), as follows:

We've been terrorized, harmed and damaged by many government officials acting dishonorably **Denial of Rights Under Color or Law**, in violation of **Title 18 USC sec 242** rather than fight against tyrannical government. Section 242 defines "police officers, prisons guards and other law enforcement officials, as well as judges,..." as possible violators which may suffer penalties

which include being "imprisoned for any term of years or for life, or both, or may be sentenced to death". (emphasis added)

You, Ms. Estrada, in concert with U.S. and Utah law enforcement and court officers, also possibly in acting in violation of R.I.C.O. statutes, have inflicted irreparable damage to our good names, reputation, our lives, liberties and property, through affidavits containing perjury, false arrest, false charges and false imprisonment, NO Miranda rights read under either unlawful arrests, etc. Our lives have been damaged and all but destroyed.

THEREFORE, we alert you of our INTENT TO COLLECT on the DEFAULT as AGREED to by You, as described in DEFAULT AND AGREED INVOICE, via the attached INVOICE #2270717a which gives 30-days to pay your obligation to us, OR alternatively you can provide a written proposal proven acceptable to us via our signed, sealed and delivered response, by the deadline.

We have been damaged and remain endangered of life and limb by this fraud, are harmed, potential face "1-15 years" and "0-5" as you pointed out in your email, in manipulated sham trial wherein we were denied our Counsel of choice, access to subpoenas blocked and Ken was Assaulted and Battered by a Deputy while leaving the court clerk desk, and so now we exercise our undeniable right to which you agreed by your silence that we are entitled to collect the \$2,980,000.00 Conditional Invoice herein. Your agreement to the facts and the resulting monetary obligations detailed in your NOTICE AND MANDATE are hereby ACCEPTED, and the matter is now settled, all except for immediate payment. Thank you!

Also, as per the NOTICE AND MANDATES (page 15), please understand that because you admitted being party to fraud (which is a felony), you have stepped out from behind your personal shield of Constitutional protection, and you now stand lawfully and personally liable for your actions, as stipulated here:

14. The UNITED STATES Supreme court in 2000 ruled, Bond vs. UNITED STATES 529 US 334-2000, held that the people are in fact Sovereign and not the STATES or government. The court went on to define that local, STATE and FEDERAL law enforcement officers are

committing unlawful actions against the Sovereign people by the enforcement of laws and are personally liable for their actions.

All are equal under the law – including *You*, regardless of title or office, sometimes held. Not responding was *Your* choice, and does NOT grant you immunity. YOU and you alone are responsible for your oath of office, actions and possible penalties in violation thereof.

Warning: If you are investigated under a criminal referral by lawful authorities, it may result in your arrest and investigation for the above, other potential criminal violations and ultimately TREASON, by the appropriate law enforcement, as now your opportunity to amicably address the matters with *Us* is expired.

THEREFORE, please find included within this USPS Registered mailing an attached INVOICE #2270817a in the amount of \$2,980,000.00 which you Ms. Estrada, the living woman agreed to pay in Fees & Penalties in relief and remedy.

We accepted your AGREEMENT to payment of Fees & Penalties in Relief

As a courtesy, the following is a rough calculation of the amount agreed by Ms. Lisa Maxine Estrada to be paid to Plaintiff Paul-Kenneth: and Barbara-Ann: Cromar. Final tabulations depend on the actual timing of your payment in full by *You*, Ms. Lisa Maxine Estrada, as stipulated here:

"19. WE, Paul-Kenneth: and Barbara-Ann: Cromar, beneficiary of *cestui que trust* mandate that MS. LISA MAXINE ESTRADA to pay us \$5,000 in via cashiers check, for each and every day from the date of receipt of this service (including day of receipt), until you NOTIFY me in writing of your DISMISSAL of the UTAH FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT (Provo) civil cases #201402860 & #201402868, ... #200400972, – and sent via PRIORITY USPS mail, c/o our official court mailing address below.

Great harm has been unlawfully and unnecessarily inflicted on the Paul-Kenneth: Cromar, his wife Barbara-Ann: Cromar, and their daughter Liberty-Eve: Cromar, damage beyond any possible financial compensation, through the fraud / felony *You*, Ms. Estrada acknowledge and AGREE. The TOTAL AMOUNT owing to *Us*, the Cromars, is approximately \$2,980,000.00, with an additional fee of \$10,000 per day until the total amount Paid in Full. The exact Total can

be updated and tabulated upon mutually agreed date of FINAL PAYMENT in Full.

ETHICS failure by the Utah BAR of which you are a Member

An spiritually oriented, renowned Utah attorney friend of ours Denver Snuffer, opined on his website, about a massive lapse in ethics in the Rules governing lawyers:

"There is a newly revised Professional Responsibility Rule, governing the ethics of lawyers. I thought it might be of general interest, so I am posting the new standard described by the Utah State Bar below:

"RPC08.04(c). Misconduct. AMEND. Codifies in new paragraph (2) Ethics Advisory Opinion 02-05, which concluded that 8.4(1)(c) (conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit or misrepresentation) does not apply to government attorneys overseeing an otherwise legal undercover criminal investigation. [emphasis added] ... If you click on the link to the Ethics Advisory Opinion you will be able to read the reasoning underlying the change.

"Our government has been caught in the trap the Lord warned us against: 'Verily, verily I say unto you, woe be unto him that lies to deceive because he supposes that another lies to deceive, for such are not exempt from the justice of God.'" T&C JSH 10:12

What honorable person, who claims to be interested in Justice, would want to have their name attached to such a dishonorable organization that shamelessly creates a "Rule" that gives themselves a *license to lie*? Do you support this kind of "ethics", Ms. Estrada?

Conditional INVOICE for \$2,980,000.00 may be Voided if you Correct the Fraud

Currently on the Court Record and do so Prior to Sentencing

The Bible reminds us, "...For all have sinned and fall short of the glory of God, and are justified freely by His grace through the redemption that is in Christ Jesus..." (Roman 3:23-24) *Our* family has discovered that God is loving and kind, and is eager to forgive all who repent. *Our* family desperately need of the beautiful power of Christ's cleansing blood in our lives, and believe it our duty to extend the same opportunity to YOU. Therefore, while we consider it a strange thing that we do not really know you, or you Us (having never spent any time in the same room with you in person), nevertheless we have suffered much at your hand, and have done our

best to inform you of how your actions and inactions as a government official have directly impacted our lives in a dramatic and traumatic way. Ms. Estrada, is it possible that you are being played for the "useful idiot" by allowing officers of the court you may respect, to use your name to fabricate the appearance of a "legitimate" trial, without concern of what this could do to you, us, your reputation, and the interest of Justice? This is not a small thing as your agreed \$2,980,000.00 INVOICE demonstrates. Have you ever considered that we are innocent of all the charges? How can a man and a woman, burglarize their own home? Did you ever think to ask why we have reason to believe we are the VICTIMS of a "weaponized IRS" probably by political enemies and downright liars. We've given you opportunities to turn away from your part in the evils that have been done to *Us*, but you have not responded well. If you do **remove your name** as our counsel, which currently sits as a fraud upon the court docket, and inform us that it has been removed it prior to sentencing, this CONDITIONAL INVOICE will be VOIDED by us, in writing.

Notice of Limited COPYRIGHT & TRADEMARK Exemption and PUBLIC NOTICE on the Salt Lake County Record:

The possessor of this and any previous documents / instruments / filings, which alert to existing Trademark and Copyrights of our Paul-Kenneth: Cromar and Barbara-Ann: Cromar names shall have the partial authority granted to use said copyright / trademark names to the benefit of said entities, without detrimental or defamatory statement or claims or use therefore.

Additionally, you are hereby notified that this jurisdictionally based NOTICE & MANDATES is further clarified by two Public Notices filed as **Affidavit: Status Declarations** with the Salt Lake County Recorder on June 29, 2021 - 2:52 pm, and on July 14, 2021 - 12:41 pm, at 2001 South State St. / Salt Lake City, Utah 84190, addressing our Paul-Kenneth: House of Cromar, and Barbara-Ann: House of Cromar Estates, for which we are the respective sole Heir and sole Executors, is also contained in multiple documents but highlighted in the comprehensive "Lawful Claim of Title, Will, Execution of Will, Declaration of Status, Appointment of

Trustees and Standing Orders for Same" entry starting page 7753 (see the first of two following entries) on and for the public record as filed with the Salt Lake County Recorder at 2001 South State St. / Salt Lake City, Utah 84190:

- Filing #13703837 Book 11198 starting from page 7696-7788, and,
- Filing #13715599 Book 11205 starting from page 4398-4421.

I AM what I AM: We, Paul-Kenneth: Cromar and Barbara-Ann: Cromar, each have been found to be living by a jury of our peers, Estate dignitary, Paterfamilias and Materfamilias of our House, one man and one woman of the people of America and the several states, w/o the STATE OF UTAH, w/o the UNITED STATES INC, w/o the United Nations, and w/o any corporation, fiction of law, agency of government, trust, or pledge. As the Sole living Haeres/Heir/Herus to our living estates, Defender and Protector of Natural Law and the common law of the people by the strength of our own arms, and our court of record, sui juris -in toto. Our word, our seals and our blood are our only bonds.

We, Paul-Kenneth: Cromar, and Barbara-Ann: Cromar,

the **sole Heirs and Executors** of the estates by the same names, do hereby reserve the right to make any amendments and corrections.

Notice to Principal is notice to Agent. Notice to Agent is notice to Principal.

FOR THE *AFFIDAVIT* IS OF THE TRUTH:

For WE, Paul-Kenneth: and Barbara-Ann: Cromar., THE sole lawful living Heirs and Executors of the names within the Paul-Kenneth: and Barbara-Ann: House of Cromar Estates [copyrighted & trademarked names]

ARE FOR THE AFFIRMATION OF THE DECLARATION OF THE *TRUTH* BY THE FIRSTHAND KNOWLEDGE OF THE FACTS:

Utah County)

Asseveration

Utah Republic united States of America

Signed only in correct public capacity

As Heir and Executor within the Original Republic Jurisdiction.

:Paul-Kenneth: Cromar. c/o 9870 N. Meadow Drive

Cedar Hills, Utah state [84062-9998]

Asseveration

Signed only in correct public capacity

As Heir and Executor within the Original Republic Jurisdiction

:Barbara-Ann: Cromar. c/o 9870 N. Meadow Drive

Cedar Hills, Utah state [84062-9998]

August 17, 2022

The above are commonly known addresses for LAND PATENT #392 part and parcel thereof;

We Stand on our Metes and Bounds, having never abandoned them, which are as follows:

Beginning at the Northeast corner of Lot 3, Plat "C", Amended North Meadow Estates Subdivision, said point being S89°28'48"W 1129.68 feet along the Quarter Section line, and S0°00'00"W 773.49 feet from the East 1/4 corner of Section 6, Township 5 South, Range 2 East of the Salt Lake Base and Meridian, and running thence along said Lot 3 boundary line the following five (5) course: (1) S0°18'49"W 96.09 feet; (2) S89°59'43"W 149.21 feet; (3) along a curve to the right 23.50 feet through a central angle of 89°46'16" having a radius of 15.00 feet and a chord that bears N45°07'09"W 21.17 feet; (4) N0°14'01"W 80.49 feet; (5) N89°45'59"E 165.06 feet to the point of beginning, containing 0.361 acres.

[for LOT "C", AMENDED NORTH MEADOW ESTATES SUBDIVIDSION within the SE ¼ of SEC. 6, T.5 S.,R.2 E. SLBM – 0.361 acres]

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

For the verification is for the true and correct-copy of the Original of the This DECLARATION [*AFFIDAVIT*]: DEMAND TO CEASE AND DESIST from Lisa Maxine Estrada's continued fraudulent claim to be our authorized "counsel of record", with a NOTICE OF DEFAULT, ACCEPTANCE OF YOUR AGREEMENT, INTENT TO COLLECT – with INVOICE #2270817a attached", and is of the delivery by the following manner:

Clerk of Court Debbie Jacobsen c/o UTAH FOURTH DISTRICT COURT 137 North Freedom Blvd Provo Utah 84601

Email & Certified Mail: #7022 0410,0002,9777-80

:Paul-Kenneth: Cromar c/o 9870 N. Meadows Dr. Cedar Hills, Utah state [84062]

August 17, 2022

Notary Public as JURAT CERTIFICATE

<u>Utah</u>	State
Utah	County

United States of America
On this August 17th, 2022 before me,

a Notary Public, personally appeared Paul-Kenneth: and Barbara-Ann: Cromar. who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the living man/woman whose Name is subscribed to the within attached instrument and acknowledged to Me that he executed the same in his authorized capacity, And that by his/her autograph(s) on the instrument the man/woman executed, the instrument known as "This NOTICE OF DEFAULT, ACCEPTANCE OF YOUR AGREEMENT, INTENT TO COLLECT – with INVOICE attached." To Lisa Maxine Estrada

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the lawful laws of

<u>Utah</u> state that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature

of Notary / Jurat



seal

NOTARY PRENSENTMENT

This DECLARATION [*AFFIDAVIT*]: DEMAND TO CEASE AND DESIST from Lisa Maxine Estrada's continued fraudulent claim to be our authorized "counsel of

record", with a NOTICE OF DEFAULT, ACCEPTANCE OF YOUR AGREEMENT, INTENT TO COLLECT – with INVOICE #2270817a attached", is herewith being served by Notary Presentment. I, Cheryl Lynne Davis, Notary Public – State of Utah Commission #698040, certify that I caused to be mailed postage paid, this NOTICE with attached Conditional INVOICE to:

Lisa Maxine Estrada – the woman c/o Utah County Public Defenders 180 N. University Ave., Ste. 140 Provo, Utah 84601

on this 17th day of August, in the year 2022.

Signature

of Notary / Jurat



seal

Please respond within the stipulated 30-day window to:

Paul-Kenneth: and Barbara-Ann: Cromar c/o Cheryl Lynne Davis Nuttall & Associates 280 S. Main Street, suite #200 Pleasant Grove, UT 84062

Notice to agents is notice to principal, Notice to principal is notice to agent.

This is <u>The End</u> of this DECLARATION [*AFFIDAVIT*]: DEMAND TO CEASE AND DESIST from Lisa Maxine Estrada's continued fraudulent claim to be our authorized "counsel of record", with a NOTICE OF DEFAULT, ACCEPTANCE OF YOUR AGREEMENT, INTENT TO COLLECT – with INVOICE #2270817a attached.

From: "Lisa M. Estrada, Esq." < lisae@utcpd.com>

Subject: RE: Second URGENT Request for you as Counsel of Record to immediately FILE: Emergency Motion for Continuance and a Special Hearing to Determine Counsel of Record

Date: August 16, 2022 at 3:35:56 PM MDT

To: Ken Cromar < kencromar@bluemoonprod.com>

Cc: Tom Means <tomm@utcpd.com>, Jared Perkins <jaredp@utahcounty.gov>, "UTAH 4th District in PROVO (District Court)" <csjohnson@utcourts.gov>, Lisa Maxine Estrada <annac@utcpd.com>, "UTAH 4th District in PROVO (District Court)" <debbiej@utcourts.gov>, "UTAH 4th District in PROVO (District Court)" cprovofiling@utcourts.gov>, Stacy Haacke <stacyh@utcourts.gov>, Minhvan Brimhall <minhvanb@utcourts.gov>, Utah Court of Appeals <courtofappeals@utcourts.gov>, Mark Colby <mcolby@utah.gov>, Mark Colby <hhenrie@utah.gov>, Amber Evans <ambere@utcourts.gov>, Nancy Baldarrago <nancyhb@utcourts.gov>, Barbara Cromar <barbaracromar@gmail.com>, Eugene Richardson <UwanigalvSuli@gmail.com>, Tom Fairbanks <THFairbanks@gmail.com>

Mr. and Mrs. Cromar,

This is to inform you of a number of things you appear to be unaware of or have forgotten. This is not a private or confidential communication. As you have included the prosecutor on this email chain and the director of my office, I have included them also so there is no misunderstanding.

- 1. You objected to my being made your counsel. This is on the record multiple times.
- 2. You sent a contract to me that I refused to sign. I do not contract with individuals.
- 3. You proceeded to trial without my assistance and against my advise as provided on the record.
- 4. You both were found guilty of:
 - a. A 2nd degree felony wherein you face 1-15 years in prison, a \$10,000 fine with a 90% surcharge and a \$53 per count security fee; and
 - b. A 3rd degree felony wherein you face 0-5 years prison, a \$5000 fine with a 90% surcharge.
- 5. Judge Johnson will send you to prison if you are not successful on probation.
- You failed to report to APP and a warrant was issued for your arrest. The warrant was later struck. There is still no Presentence report from APP (Adult Probation and Parole).
- 7. I was appointed in a limited capacity to file motions for you as the judge found you to be

a vexatious litigant. This is also on the record multiple times.

- 8. It is not exparte communication when the prosecutor and myself are notified or present for any communication with the judge.
- 9. It is your responsibility to call me if you have questions or you want something filed on your behalf.
- 10. I will not be speaking to anyone on your behalf. Do not have nonparties contact me further.
- 11. Should you have any further concerns or questions you are welcome to call me at 801 852 1070. I am happy to have a confidential communication with you.

Lisa M. Estrada, Esq, Attorney for Defendants

From: Ken Cromar

Sent: Tuesday, August 16, 2022 2:48 PM

To: Lisa Maxine Estrada

Cc: Tom Means; Jared Perkins; UTAH 4th District in PROVO (District Court); Lisa Maxine Estrada; UTAH
4th District in PROVO (District Court); UTAH 4th District in PROVO (District Court); Stacy Haacke;
Minhvan Brimhall; Utah Court of Appeals; Mark Colby; Mark Colby; Amber Evans; Nancy Baldarrago;
Barbara Cromar; Eugene Richardson; Tom Fairbanks

Subject: Re: Second URGENT Request for you as Counsel of Record to immediately FILE: Emergency Motion for Continuance and a Special Hearing to Determine Counsel of Record

August 16, 2022 - 2:47 pm

Ms. Estrada,

Did you know that an ORDER from judge Christine Johnson has just showed up on the Docket to release you as our Counsel but only "after imposition of sentencing"?

Did you know about that in advance through *ex parte* communications with judge Christine Johnson, with Prosecutor Perkins, and/or others, without our knowledge, understanding and

consent?

Ms. Estrada, do you realize that today is the first time that you as "counsel of record" have actually communicated directly with us?

Is this considered competent counsel? We would like to know who, what, when, where and and how was it decided that you Ms. Estrada were to be appointed as our counsel?

ALL we asked of you in our two recent communications of August 10 and August 15, where we asked for one simple thing; "Please make a MOTION for Hearing to make a defiinitive Determination of Counsel" **BEFORE sentencing** to preserve ALL our Remedies in due process of law.

However, Ms. Estrada, can you see why it appears that you are working directly with judge Christine Johnson and/or prosecutor Jared Perkins against our best interests?

Please respond in writing as we must preserve a record of what appears to us an obvious criminal Abuse of Process.

Respectfully,

ken & barbara

the living man and woman

On Aug 16, 2022, at 12:59 PM, Ken Cromar < kencromar@bluemoonprod.com > wrote:

August 16, 2022 - 12:59 pm

Ms. Estrada,

How is it possible that you've made Motions on the record, and instead of judge Johnson ruling

on the record of the court, there is communication between you and judge Johnson without our knowledge, understanding or consent?

Did you communicate with judge Christine Johnson in an ex parte communication?

Or, did judge Christine Johnson contact you in an ex parte communication?

ken x barbara

the living man and woman

On Aug 16, 2022, at 10:43 AM, Lisa Estrada < lisae@utcpd.com> wrote:

Attention all parties,

Judge Johnson will not allow me to withdraw until after sentencing. Mr. And Mrs. Cromar it is vital you contact me to discuss sentencing.

Lisa M. Estrada, Esq.

Attorney for defendants

On Tue, Aug 16, 2022, 9:54 AM Lisa Estrada < lisae@utcpd.com> wrote:

You have objected to my representation. I have filed a request with the judge to be removed. A motion to continue your sentencing was filed as a courtesy. I am attempting to get you scheduled on Thursday so the issue of representation can be resolved.

On Mon, Aug 15, 2022, 7:46 PM Ken Cromar < kencromar@bluemoonprod.com > wrote:

August 15, 2022 - Notary Jurat sealed at 4:55 pm

Lisa Maxine Estrada

c/o Tom Means Utah County Public Defenders 180 N. University Ave., Ste. 140 Provo, Utah 84601

Ms. Estrada, Please find attached PDF below of:

DECLARATION [AFFIDAVIT]: Second URGENT Request for you as Counsel of Record to immediately FILE: Emergency Motion for Continuance and a Special Hearing to Determine Counsel of Record

Thank you in advance for your IMMEDIATE attention.

Respectfully,

ken & barbara [Cromar] c/o 9870 N. Meadow Drive Cedar Hills, UT 84062-9998 801-400-5900 - or -PO Box 942 Pleasant Grove, Utah 84062-9998