



Scott Jackman <scott.jackman@gmail.com>

Re: Fwd: council meetings

1 message

Scott Jackman <scott.jackman@gmail.com>

Fri, Mar 16, 2012 at 12:03 PM

To: Eric Richardson <e_ric_hardson@yahoo.com>

It was Ken Cromar, Paul Sorensen, Jerry Dearinger, and Russ Fotheringham. It was nice to meet Russ and everyone was very cordial except Ken who was just being Ken. Their biggest gripe was they insisted that the only logical solution is to use the Civic Center monies to pay off the debt rather than build a Civic Center. Naturally they think we have too many employees (even though it's less than 2 years ago) and that building a new building will just encourage more. After seeing Brad's assessment, I agree with the danger of over-hiring just because we have room -- however, not nearly to the degree of those gentlemen.

On a side note, a good part of the meeting turned towards Konrad. As you know, they're going to do everything in their power to get rid of him. I hope that we will have the ability to do our annual review of Konrad in the first meeting in April.

I agree in splitting the fee schedule. Even if it were routine utility fees vs. everything else. People are most concerned about monthly utility fees than anything else.

Scott

On Fri, Mar 16, 2012 at 11:34 AM, Eric Richardson <e_ric_hardson@yahoo.com> wrote:

> Didn't buy into paying off the bond early, huh? Who did you wind up meeting
> with. It is crazy that they seem to think we can "pay off our debt" without
> revenue. Lacking in the basic understanding of economics.

>
> The fee item is for the temp business. We have to get it done before snow
> shacks etc come in. I agree the timing is horrible but I'm not sure how
> long we could delay. Thinking about this, maybe we should change the code
> so that instead of having one fee schedule for everything, we could have
> separate schedules for utilities, development, etc. so that people know what
> topic we are discussing when we review. What do you think?

>
>
>
>
>

> From: Scott Jackman <scott.jackman@gmail.com>
> To: EricRichardson <e_ric_hardson@yahoo.com>
> Sent: Friday, March 16, 2012 8:49 AM
> Subject: Fwd: council meetings

>
> Why are we having a review/action on fees? This look very bad in light of
> the discussion with ken Cromar et. al. They were very opposed to increasing
> the PI fee. I know that's not what we're doing, but they don't.

>
> Scott

>